Performance Report Appendix A

Quarter 1 2011/12 (Protected)

Social Care, Health and Housing

Ref	Indicator	Perfor Judge	
SCHH 1	People supported to live independently (NI136)	Û	Not scored
SCHH 2	Clients receiving self directed support (NI 130)	仓	R
SCHH 3	Carers receiving needs assessment or review and a specific service or advice and information (NI 135)	¢	Α
SCHH 4	SOVA investigations completed within 35 days	仓	R
SCHH 5	Achieving independence for older people through rehabilitation / intermediate care (NI 125) (Annual)	仓	Not scored
SCHH 6	Clients receiving a review (D 40)	Û	Α
SCHH 7	Number of Households living in temporary accommodation (NI 156a)	仓	G
SCHH 8	Number of Households living in temporary accommodation (Households with dependants / pregnant) (NI 156b)	仓	G
SCHH 9	Percentage of non decent homes (Council stock) (NI 158)	仓	G
Children	's Services		
Ref	Indicator	Perfor Judge	
CS 1	The percentage of initial assessments within seven working days of referral (NI 59)	Û	G
CS 2	The percentage of children looked after at 31 March with three or more placements during the year (NI 62)	Û	G
CS 3	The percentage of child protection cases which should have been reviewed during the year that were reviewed (NI 67)	ţ	G
CS 4	The percentage of children in need that led to initial assessments (NI 68)	仓	G
CS 5	Achievement at level 4 and above in both English and Maths at Key Stage 2 (NI 73) (Annual report)	Annual	Annual
CS 6	Achievement of 5 or more A* - C grades at GCSE or equivalent including English and Maths (NI 75) (Annual report)	Annual	Annual
CS 7	Published Ofsted school and college classifications	Not scored	Not scored

			Trend		RAG score		
		Û	Performance is reducing	R	RED - target missed / off target - Performance below the required level of improvement	e at least	10%
Performar Judgemer		\$	Performance remains unchanged	Α	AMBER - target missed / off target - Performa 10% below the required level of improvement		than
		仓	Performance is improving	G	GREEN - Target achieved or performance on target	track to a	achieve
Sustainab	le Co	ommunit	ies				
Ref				Inc	dicator	Perfori Judgei	
SC 1	Num	ber of Se	erious Acquisitive	crimes	per 1,000 population (NI 16)	仓	Not scored
SC 2	Road	d safety c	asualties - KSI (A	All peop	ble) (NI 47)	Not scored	Not scored
SC 3	Road	d safety o	Not scored	Not scored			
SC 4	Worl	king age	仓	Α			
SC 5	PP 1	612 Len	VI 168 Proxy)	Û	Not scored		
SC 6	Perc	entage o	f household wast	e sent i	for recycling (NI 192)	仓	G
SC 7	Perc	entage o	f municipal waste	e landfil	led (NI 193)	Û	G
SC 8		entage o ning depa		ants sat	tisfied with the service received from the	Û	Not scored
Corporate	Rese	ources	- (Corporate H	ealth I	ndicators) Resources		
CH 1	The	percenta	ge of Council Tax	due, c	collected	仓	Α
CH 2	Time even		process Housing	g Benef	it, Council Tax, new claims and change	仓	R
CH 3	Undi	sputed in	voices paid withi	n 30 da	ays	仓	G
CH 4	Amo	ount of de	bt outstanding			Û	Not scored
Corporate	Res	ources -	(Corporate He	alth Ir	ndicators) People and Organisation		
CH 5	Tota	l number	of Carlisle Mana	ged So	lutions agency staff	Not scored	Not scored
CH 6	Corp	orate sic	kness absence			仓	Α
CH 7	The Cent	•	ge of first point re	esolutio	ns by the Customer Service Contact	Û	G

			Trend		RAG score		
		Û	Performance is reducing	R	RED - target missed / off target - Performand below the required level of improvement	ce at least	10%
Performar Judgemer		¢	Performance remains unchanged	Α	AMBER - target missed / off target - Performa 10% below the required level of improvement		than
		仓	Performance is improving	G	GREEN - Target achieved or performance or target	n track to a	achieve
Sustainab	le Co	ommunit	ties				
Ref				Inc	dicator	Perfori Judgei	
SC 1	Num	ber of Se	erious Acquisitive	crimes	per 1,000 population (NI 16)	仓	Not scored
SC 2	Roa	d safety c	casualties - KSI (A	All peop	ble) (NI 47)	Not scored	Not scored
SC 3	Roa	d safety c	Not scored	Not scored			
SC 4	Wor	king age	仓	Α			
SC 5	PP 1	612 Len	Û	Not scored			
SC 6	Perc	entage o	f household wast	e sent	for recycling (NI 192)	仓	G
SC 7	Perc	entage o	f municipal waste	e landfil	led (NI 193)	Û	G
SC 8		entage o ning depa		ants sat	tisfied with the service received from the	Û	Not scored
Corporate	Res	ources	- (Corporate H	ealth I	ndicators) Resources		
CH 1	The	percenta	ge of Council Tax	k due, c	collected	仓	Α
CH 2	Time ever		process Housing	g Benei	fit, Council Tax, new claims and change	仓	R
CH 3	Undi	isputed in	voices paid withi	n 30 da	ays	仓	G
CH 4	Amo	ount of de	bt outstanding		Û	Not scored	
Corporate	Res	ources -	· (Corporate He	alth Ir	ndicators) People and Organisation		
CH 5	Tota	l number	of Carlisle Mana	ged So	lutions agency staff	Not scored	Not scored
CH 6	Corp	oorate sic	kness absence			仓	Α
CH 7	The Cent	•	ge of first point re	esolutio	ns by the Customer Service Contact	Û	G

Social Care, Health and Housing

Executive Member for Social Care, Health and Housing - Councillor Mrs Carole Hegley Deputy Executive Member for Social Care, Health and Housing - Councillor Andrew Michael Turner

Director: Julie Ogley

	Indicator	Unit	Good is	Year	Target (Outturn)	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Outturn	Comp Group*	Trend comparison		rmance ement	
		Number		2011/12	No target set	3,033.7									Following last ye reports the numb
SC HH 1	People supported to live independently (NI 136)	of people per 100,000 population	High	2010/11	4,295	3,668	3,809.4	3,328	3,042.6	3,042.6		Quarter on quarter	Û	Not scored	social care to live relatively static a demographic pre be counter-acted
				2009/10	-		-			3,435	3,558 (CIPFA)				increase in this n
				2011/12	60.0	32.20									An ambitious tar self directed sup We already perforensure that we a national target a
SC HH 2	Clients receiving self directed support (NI 130)	%	High	2010/11	30.0	15.91	15.80	23.60	30.42	30.42		Quarter on quarter	仓	R	year. Whilst progress of users receiving s convert current s
				2009/10	-	-	-	-	-	14.40	5.6 (CIPFA)				part of the annua been drawn up. programmed wo anticipated that i measure should
	Carers receiving			2011/12	40.0	31.40									
SC HH 3	needs assessment or review and a specific carer's service or advice and information	%	High	2010/11	30.0	22.21	18.90	21.40	31.39	31.39		Quarter on quarter	⇔	A	At present perfor Additional capac see improvemen
	(NI 135)			2009/10	-	-	-	-	-	23.90	18.3 (CIPFA)				

*Comp Group - This refers to the average of our comparator group (CIPFA, PWC, NFER)

Comment

ear's review, this figure now accurately nber of people that are supported by adult ive independently. Performance remains and will be impacted by increasing ressure from Older People. However, this will ed by Reablement, which will slow the rate of measure.

arget for 100% of eligible people to receive upport by March 2013 has been set nationally. rform well when compared to the region, so to are on the right trajectory to meet the a local target of 60% has been set for this

s continues in the number of new service self directed support, the challenge is to service users. Conversion is taking place as ual review process and an action plan has This will also be affected by the orkforce skills mix exercise and it is improvement in the performance of this d be seen in quarters 3 and 4.

formance remains static for this measure. acity within the teams from the autumn should ent in this measure.

	Indicator	Unit	Good is	Year	Target (Outturn)	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Outturn	Comp Group*	Trend comparison		mance ement	
SC HH	SOVA investigations	%	High	2011/12	80.0	67.2						Quarter	Û	R	This is a locally s line with good pra As reported previ complex cases w agencies take lor
4	completed within 35 days			2010/11	New	New	New	New	New	59.0	-	quarter			effect on this mea 35 days to close. be reviewed on a actions are being closed.
	Achieving			2011/12	No target set	NA									2010/11 outturn i
SC HH 5	independence for older people through rehabilitation / intermediate care	%	High	2010/11	No target set	-	-	-	-	79.59	-	Annual	仓	Not scored	available for the I Improvement in t improved verifica people have rem
	(NI 125)			2009/10	-		_			50.30	80.0 (CIPFA)				from hospital.
				2011/12	80	72.8									
SC HH 6	D40 Clients receiving a review	%	High	2010/11	80	-	73.40	71.90	73.80	73.80	-	Quarter on quarter	Û	A	Performance sho been drawn up to timely manner. I measure should
				2009/10	-	-	-	-	-	76.2	-				

*Comp Group - This refers to the average of our comparator group (CIPFA, PWC, NFER)

Comment

v set measure, and the target of 35 days is in practise.

eviously, the completion of a number of which require interventions involving other longer and still continue to have an adverse neasure, 19 out of 58 cases took longer than se. Long standing investigations continue to a regular basis, to ensure that the necessary ng taken and, where appropriate cases are

is reported this quarter as this was not a last performance report.

n the outturn of this measure is in part due to cation processes in identifying whether older mained at home 91 days after their discharge

hows a very slight fall. An action plan has to ensure that reviews are completed within a Improvement in the performance of this d be seen in quarters 3 and 4.

		1		1								1			
	Indicator	Unit	Good is	Year	Target (Outturn)	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Outturn	Comp Group*	Trend Comparison		mance ement	
				2011/12	43	35									
SC HH 7	Number of households living in temporary accommodation. (NI 156a)	Number	Low	2010/11	47	26	39	37	37	37	-	Quarter on quarter	仓	G	
				2009/10		-	-		-	32	107 (CIPFA)				In the context of will be a challen homelessness.
	NI 156b - Number			2011/12	35	23									homelessness p fluctuate and wil
SC HH 8	of households living in temporary accommodation (Households with dependants /	Number	Low	2010/11	30	18	32	26	32	32	-	Quarter on quarter	仓	G	
	pregnant)			2009/10	-	-	-	-	-	22	NA				
				2011/12	0	0.7									
SC HH 9	NI 158 Percentage of non decent homes (Council stock)	%	Low	2010/11	Q1 4.7 Q2 2.3 Q3 0 Q4 0	4.70	1.60	0	0	0	-	Annual (quarter 4)	仓	G	36 properties ha Stock condition included in this y
				2009/10	-	-	-	-	-	0.6	17.6 (CIPFA)				

*Comp Group - This refers to the average of our comparator group (CIPFA, PWC, NFER)

Seasonal = Compared to the same time in the previous year Quarter on quarter = Compared to the previous quarter Annual = Compared to a fixed point in the previous year

Comment

of the current tough economic conditions this enging target. There is a national rise in s. This target has been managed well through s prevention strategies, but will be liable to will be under constant upward pressure

have been identified in the annual Savills n survey as non decent. These have all been year's programmed works.

Children's Services

Director: Edwina Grant

Executive Member for Children's Services - Councillor Mark A G Versallion Deputy Executive Member for Children's Services - Cllr Mrs Angela Barker Deputy Executive Member for Children's Services - Cllr Anthony D Brown

_														ī		1
	Indicator		Unit	Good is	Year	Target (Outturn)	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Outturn	Comp Group*	Trend Comparison		mance ement	
					2011/12	85.0	91.7									Initial assessmen services can resp
C	The percentage initial assessm within seven working days of referral (NI 59)	ients of	%	High	2010/11	85.0	96.2	95.0	95.1	99.6	95.7	-	Quarter on quarter	Û	G	child thought to b social care interv of local agencies agency working a
					2009/10	-	-	-	-	-	86.7	59.0 NFER				authority areas g complete them. F
	The nerve ter	(2011/12	10.0	2.2									Performance is v moves this quarte undertaken as a child/young perso
C	The percentage of children looked after at 31 March with three or more placements during the year(NI 62)	d ch nore ring	%	Low	2010/11	10.0	1.1	2.3	4.1	5.7	5.7	-	Seasonal	Û	G	breakdown, the s in a planned way the stability of ca has experienced. outcomes includi
					2009/10	-	-	-	-	-	9.2	11.7 NFER				Proper assessme choice of placem placements are to the recruitment a
	The percentage	e of			2011/12	100	100									Reviews are a ke
C	reviewed during the year that were	een Ig	%	High	2010/11	100	100	100	100	100	100	-	Quarter on quarter	⇔	G	Plans and effective and progressed a and protected. A priority within the
	reviewed (NI 67				2009/10	-	-	-	-	-	100	94.4 NFER				100% target cont

*Comp Group - This refers to the average of our comparator group (CIPFA, PWC, NFER)

Comment

ents are an important indicator of how quickly spond and assess the circumstances of a be at risk of serious harm or in need of rvention. As the assessments involve a range es, this indicator also shows how well multig arrangements are established in local given the service has seven working days to . Performance remains above target.

very good. The increase in placement rter is still within target. Moves are usually a consequence of a change in the sons care plan or related to a placement e service strives to deal with these situations ay. This indicator is an important measure of care placements that a child or young person ed. This is usually associated with better ding education and emotional well being. ment of a child's needs and an adequate ments are essential if appropriate stable e to be made. The services priority remains and retention of carers.

key element in ensuring that Child Protection ctive interventions are monitored, reviewed d as a means of ensuring children are safe As a result, delivering timely reviewing is a ne Conference and Review Service. The ontinues to be achieved.

	Indicator	Unit	Good is	Year	Target (Outturn)	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Outturn	Comp Group*	Trend Comparison		mance ement	
	The percentage of			2011/12	60.0	60.2									Performance has performance las demonstrates th
CS 4	that led to initial assessments (NI 68)	%	High	2010/11	65.0	53.1	67.0	58.6	51.5	56.0	-	Quarter on quarter	仓	G	ability to respond intervention. The further enhancer
				2009/10	-	-	-	-	-	68.2	61.3 NFER				and the Governr likely to influence processes going

*Comp Group - This refers to the average of our comparator group (CIPFA, PWC, NFER)

Indicators CS5 and CS6 are not shown in this quarters report as they are reported annually.

				2009/10	2010/11			2011/12						
	Indicat	or	Unit	Outturn	Outturn	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Outturn	Trend comparison		mance ement	
	:kets)	Total	Number of schools	248 (57)	247 (62)	247 (11)								Since the beginning of A of these maintained the Ofsted judgement (one
	and co	Outstanding	Number of schools	56 (10)	63 (11)	64 (1)								Outstanding) and two re early years provision.
CS 7	school v inspe	Good	Number of schools	140 (32)	132 (35)	131 (7)					Quarter on quarter	Not scored	Not scored	Mill Vale Middle School was judged to be Satisfa Improve" category.
	Ofsted Ofsted Ofsted	Satisfactory	Number of schools	51 (14)	50 (14)	51 (3)								Ashton Middle School w February 2011. The Se improvements that need
	Publishe classifica	sificati	Number of schools	1 (1)	2 (2)	1 (0)								Alban Academy and Str that September will brin more unified.

Seasonal = Compared to the same time in the previous year Quarter on quarter = Compared to the previous quarter Annual = Compared to a fixed point in the previous year

Comment

has met target. The issues affecting ast year have been addressed. This target that at this time there is the capacity and nd effectively to requests for social care he new Integrated Children's System will offer ements to the authority's ability to respond nments response to The Munro review is ce the frontline Assessment Team's business ng forward.

Comment

April, there have been 11 inspections. Five neir Good outcome, four improved their e Satisfactory, two Good and one received a Satisfactory outcome for their

ol was inspected on 13th June. The school sfactory taking it out of the Ofsted "Notice to

was given a Notice to Improve on 9th Senior Leadership Team is focused on the ed to be made, in part from the support from Stratton Upper School. There is a confidence ing a new start and enable practice to be

Sustainable Communities

Director: Alan Fleming

Executive Member for Sustainable Communities - Services - Cllr Brian J Spurr Executive Member for Sustainable Communities - Strategic Planning and Economic Development - Cllr Ken C Mathews **Deputy Executive Member for Sustainable Communities - Services - Cllr Budge Wells** Deputy Executive Member for Sustainable Communities - Services - Cllr Ian Dalgarno Deputy Executive Member for Sustainable Communities - Strategic Planning and Economic Development - Cllr J Nigel Young

	Indicator	Unit	Good is	Year	Target (Outturn)	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Outturn	Comp group*	Trend comparison		rmance ement	
				2011/12	No target set	2.8									Serious acquisitiv robbery and theft performance has quarter in 2010/1 crimes, a reduction Whilst there was
SC 1	NI 16 Number of Serious acquisitive crimes per 1,000 population	Number	Low	2010/11	13.30	3.3	3.1	3.2	3.5	13.1	-	Seasonal	仓	Not scored	11%) there were (down 25%) and there were 147 fe 2011/12 than the domestic burglar targeting and sub
				2009/10	-	-		-	-	11.5	14.3 PWC				The Community S of key partners to on-going includes Protection Schen assist owners to targeted and wor Trust in connection parks.

*Comp Group - This refers to the average of our comparator group (CIPFA, PWC, NFER)

Seasonal = Compared to the same time in the previous year Quarter on quarter = Compared to the previous quarter Annual = Compared to a fixed point in the previous year

Comment

tive crime includes domestic burglary, efts of and from motor vehicles. Quarter 1 as improved when compared to the same /11. There were 136 less serious acquisitive tion of 16%.

is a slight increase in thefts from vehicles (up e significant reductions in thefts of vehicles d domestic burglaries (down 44%). In fact fewer domestic burglaries in quarter 1 ere were in 2010/11. This reduction in ary has been achieved through the successful ubsequent arrest of identified offenders.

Safety Partnership is working with a number to tackle the rise in thefts from vehicles, work es increasing the use of the Car Accessory eme and Vulnerable Vehicle Scheme to reduce the likelihood of vehicles being rking with Greensand Trust and National tion with vehicle crime in beauty spot car

	Indicator	Unit	Good is	Year	Target (Outturn)	Quarter 1 JAN-MAR	Quarter 2 APR-JUN	Quarter 3 JUL-SEP	Quarter 4 oct-dec	Outturn	Comp Group*	Trend comparison		mance ement	
	NI 47 Road safety			2011	No target set	17									The number of o considerably low exceptional incid involving multipl Furthermore, the
SC 2	casualties - KSI (All people) (NI 47 uses calendar years rather than	Number	Low	2010	<121	37	48	32	23	140	-	Seasonal	Not scored	Not scored	2010 suffered m quarter 1 of cale previous figures current figure is profile.
	years rather than financial years).			2009	-	-	-	-	-	127	-				Figures for quar from Bedfordshi has to be allowe involving fatalitie
				2011	No target set	Restricted Data									
SC 3	NI 48 Road safety casualties - KSI (Children) (NI 48 uses calendar years rather than financial years).	Number	Low	2010	<9	Restricted Data	Restricted Data	Restricted Data	Restricted Data	Restricted Data		Seasonal	Not scored	Not scored	Quarter 1 2011 years. Figures for available from E sufficient time h incidents involvi recorded.
				2009	-	-	-	-	-						

*Comp Group - This refers to the average of our comparator group (CIPFA, PWC, NFER)

Comment

of casualties in quarter 1 2011 was ower than in previous years. This is due to cidents in previous years, regrettably iple fatalities on the trunk road network (M1). the winter months of quarter 1 in calendar year more severe weather than that encountered in alendar year 2011, serving to exacerbate es when comparing with the current year. The is therefore consistent with the longer-term

arter 2 (April to June 2011) will be available hire Police in October 2011, as sufficient time wed to ensure all road traffic incidents ities and serious injuries are recorded.

1 is consistent with the figures for previous for quarter 2 (April to June 2011) will be Bedfordshire Police in October 2011, as has to be allowed to ensure all road traffic ving fatalities and serious injuries are

	Indicator	Unit	Good is	Year	Target (Outturn)	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Outturn	Comp Group*	Trend comparison		mance ement	
				2011/12	No target set	NA									The original data available. So we latest data availa between this and in the age range
SC 4	Working age people on out of work benefits	%	Low	2010/11	6.60	7.5 (May 2010)	7.6 (Aug 2010)	7.4 (Nov 2010)	NA	-	-	Quarter on quarter	仓	A	The level of bene regional levels, th conditions are re- high levels of gro
												-			It is proposed that will monitor the n work benefits rat
				2009/10	-	-	-	-	-	7.50	11.9 РWС				The reporting of t proposed change benefits together
				2011/12	No target set	3.567									The length of roa reduced significa previous financia
SC 5	(NI 168 Proxy) PP1612 Length of road resurfaced	Km	High	2010/11	25	6.488	3.357	3.807	5.939	19.59	-	Quarter on quarter	Û	Not scored	In response to th to ensure we find therefore as well a range of surfac dressing will be c
				2009/10	-	-	-	-	-	28.00	-				included in the ca

Comment

ta source for this indicator is no longer ve are now using NOMIS data for which the ilable is for quarter 3 2010/11. The difference nd the original indicator relates to a difference e of the working age population for females.

nefits is consistently lower than national and though ongoing challenging economic resulting in a fluctuating labour market and rowth in part time employment.

hat, as from quarter 2 2011/12 the indicator number of working age people on out of ather than the percentage.

of this indicator is likely to be affected by ges by Government to bring all existing er to create a single Universal Credit.

bad resurfaced in quarter 1 2011/12 has cantly compared to the same quarter in the sial year.

the budget challenges the Council continues nd the best value for money road treatments, ell as resurfacing the Council is making use of ace treatment techniques. 17.5km of surface e delivered in quarter 2, but this is not calculation of this indicator.

Quarter Good Quarter Quarter Quarter Performance Target Trend Comp Indicator Unit Year Outturn Comment Group* Judgement comparison is (Outturn) 1 2 3 Δ At the time of publishing this report final confirmation of the No guarter 4 2010/11 figures is still awaited. 2011/12 target set However the provisional figures, which we believe will be NI 192 - Percentage confirmed, produce an outturn figure of 51.6%. This shows SC of household 51.70 51.6 ① G that we have exceeded our target. This has been achieve % High 49.02 Seasonal 2010/11 50.0 53.82 51.43 waste sent for 6 Provisional Provisional through continued improvement of the recycling servicerecycling such as offering reuse and plasterboard recycling at HWRCs- and continued promotion of waste minimisation 47.8 and recycling including targeted work in areas where there 2009/10 50.30 PWC has historically been low levels of recycling. At the time of publishing this report, final confirmation of the No quarter 4 2010/11 figures is still awaited. However the 2011/12 target provisional figures, which we believe will be confirmed, set produce an outturn figure of 46.3%. This shows that we have exceeded our target by nearly 4%, although there has been a slight deterioration in performance since 2009/10. NI 193 Percentage SC The deterioration in performance compared to 2009/10 can 46.3 46.8 Ŷ G of municipal waste % 2010/11 49.52 Seasonal Low 50 43.44 45.95 be attributed to the fact that, due to the additional cost, no 7 Provisional Provisional landfilled residual waste was diverted for energy recovery. However our overall tonnage of municipal waste collected fell by over 3,000t year on year. There is still a very good outturn this year against this 45.8 2009/10 44.20 **PWC** indicator. Waste arisings generally continue to fall mirroring the national trend of reducing waste arisings. No There has been a low survey response rate in quarter 1, 2011/12 71.42 target with only 14 forms received of which ten applicants/agents set were satisfied with the service provided. The Development DM 12 - Percentage Management Team has recently undergone a restructure of planning and currently has vacancies within the team so a dip in applicants satisfied Quarter SC satisfaction levels is not unexpected during this quarter. Û Not with the service High % 2010/11 82 95.5 94.9 89.0 91.0 93 on scored Recruitment process is now underway. 8 received from the quarter planning Dissatisfaction relates to a number of issues which have department been reviewed and assessed by the Development Management Team and appropriate action taken where 2009/10 81 -possible.

*Comp Group - This refers to the average of our comparator group (CIPFA, PWC, NFER)

Corporate Health - Resources

Assistant Chief Executive: John Unsworth

Council tax and benefits

Deputy Leader and Executive Member for Corporate Resources - Cllr Maurice R Jones Deputy Executive Member for Corporate Resources - Cllr David John Hopkin **Deputy Executive Member for Corporate Resources - Cllr Richard D Wenham**

		1	1								1	r		1
	Indicator	Unit	Good is	Year	Target (Outturn)	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Outturn	Trend comparison	Performance Judgement		
				2011/12	98.00	29.10								Whilst quarter 1 performan same period in 2010/11, it £184k. This position is bei which in June 2011 resulte • 9,000 reminders for late • 1,840 summonses and customers in the Magist This action should have a
СН 1	The percentage of Council Tax due, collected	%	High	2010/11	98.50	28.79	27.82	27.86	13.13	97.60	Seasonal	仓	A	
				2009/10	97.00	28.63	28.22	28.00	12.34	97.19				
	Time taken to			2011/12	25 Average for year	31 (31)					Seasonal			Whilst the judgement for still much improvement to claims and change event days in quarter 1 2010/1 reduction is good coincid unavoidably occurs durin
CH 2	events (NI 181) (Figures in brackets show the target	Days Average for period	Low	2010/11	29	54	54	37	26	26		仓	R	week of March of 109,000 to benefits claimants to no Monthly targets have bee overall average processin year with a processing tin
	average number of days for the quarter)			2009/10	22	31	31	ТВА	ТВА	29				target but fell behind in Ma be a dip in August and Se allocated to tackling the cu number of older claims, w indicator. It is then anticipa come down as the service claims.

Comment

nance has improved when compared to the it is 0.13% behind target which equates to being rectified through a range of actions ulted in:

ate payment of Council Tax being issued. d 1,800 Liability Orders being issued against istrates Court.

a positive impact in quarter 2.

this indicator is scored as Red as there is to be made, the time taken to process benefit nts has reduced significantly, down from 54 11 to 31 days in quarter 1 2011/12. This ding as it does with the peak in workload that ng quarter 1, following the issuing in the last 00 annual council tax bills and 18,000 letters notify them of their entitlement.

en set to assist the service achieve an sing time of 25 days for 2011/12 and end the ime of 19 days. Performance in April met this May and June. It is anticipated that there will September as additional resources are current backlog, quickly processing a large which will be included in the figures for this ipated that processing times will gradually ce is able to focus on processing newer

Invoices and outstanding debt

	Indicator	Unit	Good is	Year	Target (Outturn)	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Outturn	Trend comparison		rmance ement	
				2011/12	90	92.5								There has been significant all directorates showing ar quarter 1 2010/11. The im Care, Health and Housing against already strong con The overall 2011/12 quarte improvement over the prev
CH 3	Undisputed invoices paid within 30 days	%	High	2010/11	90	88.00	85.00	85.28	86.73	86.73 Seasonal	Seasonal	仓	G	
				2009/10						82.6				
3(a)	Social Care Health and	%	High	2011/12		96.65								Schemes such as 'No PO as is a strong focus on the
	Housing		5	2010/11	-	86.00	84.00	92.41	93.78	-				streamlined requisitioning
3(b)	Children's	%	High	2011/12		86.46								The underperformance in Services, relates to poor p performance for the quarter
5(5)	Services		ingn	2010/11	-	79.00	81.00	76.30	81.05	-				Action taken since May ha performance within the dir
3(c)	Sustainable	%	High	2011/12		88.54								
5(0)	Communities		ingn	2010/11	-	84.00	76.00	82.35	86.71	-				
3(d)	Customer and			2011/12		84.44								
5(0)	Shared Services			2010/11	-	71.00	71.00	76.67	84.47	-				
3(e)	Office of the	%	High	2011/12		94.52								
3(8)	Chief Executive			2010/11	-	82.00	65.00	80.49	93.18	-				

ant improvement in quarter 1 2011/12, with an improvement when compared to the improvement of over 10% by Adult Social ng is particularly notable as this was set comparative performance.

arter 1 performance at 92.5% is also a real revious quarters figure (86.73%).

O, No pay' are supporting this improvement he subject across directorates, following the ng training provided since January 2011.

in quarter 1 by Customer and Shared r performance in May that affected the overall arter. (April 88%, May 77%, and June 92%). has produced an improvement in directorate.

Debt outstanding

				Good	2010/11	010/11 2011/12							Performance	
	Indicator L		Unit	Unit is		Target (Outturn)	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Outturn	Trend comparison	Judgement	
CH 4		Total amount of debt outstanding	(£m)	Low	2.862	No target set	3.59					Quarter on quarter	↓ Not scored	Compared to last quarter increased by £728k, this i categories. In respect of the 61 to 90 Bedfordshire for £238k is
4(a)		Amount of debt outstanding 61 to 90 days	(£m)	Low	0.553		0.732					Quarter on quarter	Û	payment pending the sign In respect of the 91 to 364 Bedfordshire valued at £5 department are aware an is owed by a company wh relevant forms have been
4(b)		Amount of debt outstanding 91 to 365 days	(£m)	Low	1.616		1.915					Quarter on quarter	Û	receivers. In respect of those over 1 issued to Bedford Boroug chasing services to sort o The first of the automated
4(c)		Amount of debt outstanding Over 1 year	(£m)	Low	0.693		0.943					Quarter on quarter	Ŷ	sent on the 5 th July, this h customers but will initiate unpaid at 52 days. Revised management info be provided following July

Comment

er the overall level of debt outstanding has s is reflected in increases in each of the debt

00 day category an invoice for NHS is being actively chased, it was awaiting gning of an agreement.

365 day category one invoice for NHS £563k is awaiting agreement. The issuing and are chasing. In addition the sum of £130k who have since gone into liquidation, here en completed and have been sent to the

1 year category, £218k related to invoices ugh. The Income Team have been actively out the disputes that remain.

ed reminders tailored to suit debt type were s has reduced the number reminders sent to te faster pursual of accounts that remain

nformation regarding outstanding debts is to uly period end and on an ongoing basis.

Assistant Chief Executive - Deb Clarke



Deputy Leader and Executive Member for Corporate Resources - Cllr Maurice R Jones Deputy Executive Member for Corporate Resources - Cllr David John Hopkin Deputy Executive Member for Corporate Resources - Cllr Richard D Wenham

Agency staff

	Indicator		Unit	Year	Target (Outturn)	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Outturn	Trend comparison		mance ement	
CH 5		otal number of gency staff	Number of staff	2011/12	Level determined by need during quarter	166.49					Not scored	Not scored	Not scored	
				2010/11		207	191	182	192	193 (Quarterly average)				
5(a)		Leave cover	Number of Staff (FTE)	2011/12		7.65								
5(b)		Maternity and Paternity cover	Number of Staff (FTE)	2011/12		4.33								The Council continues
5(c)		Project work / Heavy workload	Number of Staff (FTE)	2011/12		64.53								uses; monitoring the re the agency worker is c one of the resourcing o resourcing options. Th
5(d)		Sickness cover	Number of Staff (FTE)	2011/12		13.81								of restructuring where identified for a period of
5(e)		Vacant post cover	Number of Staff (FTE)	2011/12		76.17								prior to commencing c As the optimum numbe
		Total				166.49								to quarter due to chang
5(f)		Administrative	Number of Staff (FTE)	2011/12		42.42								score this indicator. Ho agency staff (FTE) fell compared to quarter 4
5(g)		Care	Number of Staff (FTE)	2011/12		27.43								compared to the same
5(h)		Industrial	Number of Staff (FTE)	2011/12		5.82								
5(i)		Professional and Technical	Number of Staff (FTE)	2011/12		47.18								
5(j)		Social Work	Number of Staff (FTE)	2011/12		43.64								
		Total				166.49								

Seasonal = Compared to the same time in the previous year Quarter on quarter = Compared to the previous quarter Annual = Compared to a fixed point in the previous year

Comment

s to manage the level of agency staff that it reason for their usage and the category of job carrying out. The use of agency workers is options that allows us to be flexible in our his is especially important during times skills or capacity gaps have been of time or there is a need to covering a role change.

ber of agency staff will fluctuate from quarter nging needs, it is not possible to performance lowever it is worth noting that the number of Il by 13% in guarter 1 2011/12 when 4 2010/11 and is down 19.5% when e point in the previous year.

Sickness absence



Comment

We continue to carefully monitor levels and patterns of sickness absence and work closely with all managers, supporting them as they follow our robust sickness absence policies.

Building on the sickness absence training already provided to managers, further training is planned over the coming months.

As part of this support, Human Resources works closely with Occupational Health to provide support to those identified as having higher levels of sickness absence.

The figures show that sickness absence is improving, with quarter 1 2011/12 showing the second successive quarterly fall. However the indicator has been scored as AMBER as quarter 1 was above the quarterly target.

Customer Services

	Indicator	Unit	Good is	Year	Target (Outturn)	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Outturn	Trend comparison	Performance Judgement		
	The percentage of first point resolutions by Customer Service Contact Centre			2011/12	80.0	88.76								The purpose of this indic Customer Service Conta calls at first point of cont
CH 7		%	High	gh 2010/11 80.0 87.29 89.53 90.46 89.64 89.23 Quarter on quarter	Û	G	The Customer Contact (of these 46% came thro Analysis of these 'Gene							
				2009/10						76.0				(16,449) of these calls w be directed to a named achieve FPOC and have calculation.

Comment

dicator is to ensure that the Council's ntact Centre works towards resolving 80% of ontact.

t Centre received 146,739 calls in quarter 1, rough on the 'General Enquiries' line.

neral Enquiry' line calls revealed that 25% s were where the caller was merely asking to ed member of staff, with no opportunity to ave therefore been removed from the